

## Report for 3<sup>rd</sup> TAC Meeting (25<sup>th</sup> - 28<sup>th</sup> month)

- Formal oral scientific presentation in front of the committee (15min)
- Discussion of the progress/results
- Definition of necessary research steps for completion of Ph.D. thesis

---

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name, Surname (Ph.D. candidate): \_\_\_\_\_

Enrolled as a Ph.D. student since: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of 2<sup>nd</sup> TAC Meeting: \_\_\_\_\_

---

### Student's presentation:

15 min on time?      Yes ☐      No ☐

Slides clear?      Yes ☐      No ☐

General feedback on the presentation:

## Discussion of the progress/results

### A: Ph.D. Project – Research and Progress

Aspects to consider: e. g. frequency and quality of interaction, relevance of the problem, experimental approach; results and accomplishments from the previous year; new scientific discoveries; knowledge of the literature; oral presentation.

**Improvements / Deviation from plan:**

**Recommendation:**

**Scientific qualifications/skills** (Which scientific qualifications/skills should be acquired?)

### B: Working Style / Personal Skills

Aspects to consider: e. g. to work in a systematic and structured way; to be able to set priorities; to develop and apply creative and innovative solutions; to communicate openly, actively and clearly

**Improvements / Potentials:**

**Recommendation:**

#### **Participation in lectures/internal group activities/training courses**

Has the student participated in lectures/path activities/training courses to improve personal skills?

**Recommendation:**

## Definition of necessary (research) steps for completion of Ph.D. thesis

- Date and location for the "Statusvortrag" (45min, \_\_\_\_\_ supervisor must be present) only valid for students enrolled with the new Promotinsordnung 03/2018
- Thesis approved for final submission: ☐ Yes  
☐ No, \_\_\_\_\_
- 10 Theses approved for final submission: ☐ Yes  
☐ No, \_\_\_\_\_
- Thesis should be submitted latest on: \_\_\_\_\_
- Estimated date of the defense: \_\_\_\_\_  
(earliest possible date: 3 months after submission)

## Suggestions for the Doctoral Committee

- **1<sup>st</sup> reviewer of the thesis:** **Prof. Dr. Gianaurelio Cuniberti**
- **2<sup>nd</sup> reviewer of the thesis:** \_\_\_\_\_
- **3<sup>rd</sup> reviewer of the thesis:** \_\_\_\_\_  
new Promotinsordnung 03/2018: only necessary if the doctoral candidate published joint publications with the 2nd reviewer in the last three years before the opening of the doctoral procedure.
- **Chairman doctoral committee** \_\_\_\_\_
- **Further member doctoral committee** \_\_\_\_\_
- **Minute taker:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(PhD candidate is responsible for finding a minute taker)

Signature Ph.D. candidate: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature Ph.D. thesis supervisor/Doktorvater: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature Day-to-Day supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature further member: \_\_\_\_\_

**After signature, please hand in this report to the office of Prof. Cuniberti.**

### Attachments

All slides/presentation slides attached

☐ Yes

☐ No

10 Theses attached

☐ Yes

☐ No

Presentation slides sent per E-Mail to office.nano@tu-dresden.de

☐ Yes

☐ No

and [gianaurelio.cuniberti@tu-dresden.de](mailto:gianaurelio.cuniberti@tu-dresden.de)