

3rd Thesis Advisory Committee Meeting

2,5 years at the Chair | oral scientific presentation about your research results over the past year
(15min) | discussion of the progress/results, definition of necessary research steps for completion of Ph.D. | 10
Statements discussion | decision about the Ph.D. committee and the reviewers)

Date: 12.03.2024

1. Based on the candidate's presentation please evaluate the following areas:

Student's presentation:

15 min on time?	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/>	No <input type="radio"/>
Slides clear?	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/>	No <input type="radio"/>
Presentation clear?	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/>	No <input type="radio"/>

Comments:

. motivation missing
. speak w/ more pauses!

Ph.D Project - Research and Progress

e. g. frequency and quality of interaction, relevance of the problem, experimental approach; results and accomplishments from the previous year; new scientific discoveries; goals for the next year/meeting; knowledge of the literature; oral presentation

Highlights

Improvements

→ write the thesis!

Working Style

e. g. to work in a systematic and structured way; to be able to set priorities; to develop and apply creative and innovative solutions; to communicate openly, actively and clearly

Highlights

Improvements

Scientific Visibility and Qualifications

e. g. publications; conferences; internal nanoSeminar during the semester break

Highlights

Improvements

2. Recommendations for the PhD candidate

Michael

(Frankfurt)

XPI Hello

II MW
□ Fly

3. Other issues / Problems / What happens after the defense?

4. Definition of necessary steps for completion of Ph.D. thesis

- Date of the "Statusvortrag" (45min, supervisor must be present)
only valid for students enrolled with the new Promotionsordnung 03/2018 → June 2024
- Thesis approved for final submission: Yes ☐ No (What have to be done) ☐
- 10 statements approved for final submission: Yes ☐ No (What have to be done) ☐
- Thesis should be submitted latest on:
- Estimated date of the defense:
(earliest possible date: 3 months after submission)

5. Suggestions for the Doctoral Committee

Please obtain the commitment of the reviewers and committee members before you submit their names to Promovendus.

1) Chairman doctoral committee:

Frank Großmann, Helene Reischle, Carsten Tietze

2) 1st reviewer

~~Andreas Lohmann~~ G. Cuniberti

3) 2nd reviewer

Artur CIELSKI

4) Further member doctoral committee:

(= 3rd reviewer if the doctoral candidate published joint publications with the 2nd reviewer in the last three years before the opening of the doctoral procedure.)

5) Further member doctoral committee:

Zouling Feng, B. Prothier

(e.g. Rigorosum examiner)

Minute Taker,

(PhD candidate is responsible for finding a minute taker)

anyone w/ a Dr.?

Signature Ph.D. candidate:

Signature Ph.D. thesis supervisor/Doktorvater:

Signature Day-to-Day supervisor.

Signature further member:

After signature, please hand in this report to the office of Prof. Cuniberti

Presentation slides sent to office.nano@tu-dresden.de and gianaurelio.cuniberti@tu-dresden.de ? Yes ☐ No ☐
10 Statements attached? Yes ☐ No ☐