

## 3<sup>rd</sup> Thesis Advisory Committee Meeting

2,5 years at the Chair | oral scientific presentation about your research results over the past year  
(15min) | discussion of the progress/results, definition of necessary research steps for completion of Ph.D. | 10  
Statements discussion | decision about the Ph.D. committee and the reviewers)

Date:

### 1. Based on the candidate's presentation please evaluate the following areas:

#### Student's presentation:

15 min on time?	Yes	No	Comments:
Slides clear?	Yes	No	
Presentation clear?	Yes	No	

#### Ph.D Project - Research and Progress

e. g. frequency and quality of interaction, relevance of the problem, experimental approach; results and accomplishments from the previous year; new scientific discoveries; goals for the next year/meeting; knowledge of the literature; oral presentation

Highlights

Improvements

#### Working Style

e. g. to work in a systematic and structured way; to be able to set priorities; to develop and apply creative and innovative solutions; to communicate openly, actively and clearly

Highlights

Improvements

#### Scientific Visibility and Qualifications

e. g. publications; conferences; internal nanoSeminar during the semester break

Highlights

Improvements

### 2. Recommendations for the PhD candidate

### 3. Other issues / Problems / What happens after the defense?

### 4. Definition of necessary steps for completion of Ph.D. thesis

- Date of the "Statusvortrag" (45min, supervisor must be present)  
only valid for students enrolled with the new Promotionsordnung 03/2018
- Thesis approved for final submission:                      Yes                      No (What have to be done)
- 10 statements approved for final submission: Yes                      No (What have to be done)
- Thesis should be submitted latest on:
- Estimated date of the defense:  
(earliest possible date: 3 months after submission)

### 5. Suggestions for the Doctoral Committee

Please obtain the commitment of the reviewers and committee members before you submit their names to Promovendus.

#### 1) Chairman doctoral committee:

#### 2) 1st reviewer

#### 3) 2nd reviewer

#### 4) Further member doctoral committee:

(= 3rd reviewer if the doctoral candidate published joint publications with the 2nd reviewer in the last three years before the opening of the doctoral procedure.)

#### 5) Further member doctoral committee:

(e.g. Rigorosum examiner)

#### Minute Taker,

(PhD candidate is responsible for finding a minute taker)

Signature Ph.D. candidate:

---

Signature Ph.D. thesis supervisor/Doktorvater:

---

Signature Day-to-Day supervisor.

---

Signature further member:

---

**After signature, please hand in this report to the office of Prof. Cuniberti**

Presentation slides sent to [office.nano@tu-dresden.de](mailto:office.nano@tu-dresden.de) and [gianaurelio.cuniberti@tu-dresden.de](mailto:gianaurelio.cuniberti@tu-dresden.de) ? Yes

No

10 Statements attached? Yes

No