

Femtosecond Quantum Control for Quantum Computing and Quantum Networks

Caroline Gollub

Outline



- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook

Quantum Control



controlling quantum phenomena and intramolecular wave packet dynamics with shaped electric laser fields

<u>theory:</u> optimal control theory

experiment:

closed-loop techniques with genetic algorithms



numerous successful demonstrations in various fields: biology, chemistry, physics



application requiring precise control of quantum systems: quantum information processing

Outline



- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates and MTOCT
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook





eigenstates of molecular vibrational normal modes encode the qubit states



logic operations (quantum gates): specially shaped ultrashort laser pulses



calculated with optimal control theory

qubit basis: $|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle$

Tesch, de Vivie-Riedle, PRL 89, 157901 (2002)

Optimal Control Theory

multi-target optimal control theory

J

global quantum gates

$$(\Psi_{ik}(t), \Psi_{fk}(t), \epsilon(t)) = F(\tau) - \alpha_0 \int_0^t \frac{|\epsilon(t)|^2}{s(t)} dt$$
$$- \sum_k^N 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[C \int_0^T \langle \Psi_{fk}(t) | \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\hat{H}_0 - \mu \epsilon(t) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \Psi_{ik}(t) \rangle dt \right]$$

 \mathbf{T}

standard MTOCT

phase-correlated MTOCT

$$F_{\rm std}(\tau) = \sum_{k}^{N} |\langle \Phi_{fk} | \Psi_{ik}(T) \rangle|^{2}$$
$$C_{\rm std} = \langle \Psi_{ik}(t) | \Psi_{fk}(t) \rangle$$

$$F_{\rm corr}(\tau) = \sum_{k}^{N} \sum_{l}^{N} \langle \Phi_{fk} | \Psi_{ik}(T) \rangle \langle \Psi_{il}(T) | \Phi_{fl} \rangle$$
$$C_{\rm corr} = \sum_{l}^{N} \langle \Psi_{il}(t) | \Psi_{fl}(t) \rangle$$

Tesch, de Vivie-Riedle, PRL 89, 157901 (2002)



IR quantum gates



universal set of IR quantum gates for the 2-qubit system MnBr(CO)₅



Korff, Troppmann, de Vivie-Riedle, JCP 123, 244509 (2005)

Outline



- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates and MTOCT
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook



Raman Quantum Gates



stimulated, non-resonant Raman quantum gates

strategy to optimize the two laser fields $\epsilon_1(t)$ and $\epsilon_2(t)$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(t) = \hat{H}_0\Psi(t) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1(t)\hat{\alpha}\varepsilon_2(t)\Psi(t)$$





OCT with Frequency Filters



MTOCT for Raman transitions with frequency filter

$$J(\Psi_{ik}(t), \Psi_{fk}(t), \epsilon_{1}(t), \epsilon_{2}(t)) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ |\langle \Psi_{ik}(T) | \Phi_{fk} \rangle|^{2} - \sum_{l=1}^{2} \alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{|\epsilon_{l}(t)|^{2}}{s(t)} dt - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\langle \Psi_{ik}(T) | \Phi_{fk} \rangle \int_{0}^{T} \langle \Psi_{fk}(t) | \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\hat{H}_{0} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{1}(t) \alpha \epsilon_{2}(t) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] |\Psi_{ik}(t) \rangle dt \right] \right\} - \sum_{l=1}^{2} \gamma_{l} |F_{l}(\epsilon_{l}(t))|$$



MTOCT for Raman transitions with frequency filter

$$J(\Psi_{ik}(t), \Psi_{fk}(t), \epsilon_{1}(t), \epsilon_{2}(t)) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ |\langle \Psi_{ik}(T) | \Phi_{fk} \rangle|^{2} - \sum_{l=1}^{2} \alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{|\epsilon_{l}(t)|^{2}}{s(t)} dt - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\langle \Psi_{ik}(T) | \Phi_{fk} \rangle \int_{0}^{T} \langle \Psi_{fk}(t) | \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\hat{H}_{0} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{1}(t) \alpha \epsilon_{2}(t) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] |\Psi_{ik}(t) \rangle dt \right] \right\}$$
$$-\sum_{l=1}^{2} \gamma_{l} |F_{l}(\epsilon_{l}(t))|$$
band pass filter band stop filter

OCT with Frequency Filters



Finite Impulse Response filters (FIR) realized as a band stop Fourier-filter

1

$$F(\epsilon(t)) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \epsilon(t - j\Delta t),$$

new field calculated with the Krotov iteration scheme:

$$\epsilon^{n+1}(t) = \epsilon^{n}(t) - \frac{s(t)}{2\alpha_{0}} \left(\gamma(t) - \sum_{k} \Im[\langle \Phi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) | \Psi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n+1}) \rangle \times \langle \Phi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) | \hat{\mu} | \Psi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n+1}) \rangle] \right)$$

$$OCT$$
output

Lagrange multiplier can be interpreted as a correction field

OCT with Frequency Filters



Finite Impulse Response filters (FIR) realized as a band stop Fourier-filter

$$F(\epsilon(t)) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \epsilon(t - j\Delta t),$$

prediction of the Lagrangian correction field

 γ

$$\chi'(t) = \sum_{k} \Im[\langle \Phi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) | \psi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) \rangle \times \langle \Phi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) | \hat{\mu} | \psi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) \rangle]$$
$$\approx \sum_{k} \Im[\langle \Phi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) | \psi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n+1}) \rangle \times \langle \Phi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n}) | \hat{\mu} | \psi_{k}(t,\epsilon^{n+1}) \rangle]$$

after the filter operation $\gamma(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[f'(\omega) \cdot \mathcal{F}(\gamma'(t))]$

only the unwanted components remain in the Lagrangian and are subtracted from the original OCT output

Model System

properties of molecular candidates

high Raman activities

balanced anharmonicities

scanning several molecules with suitable Raman spectra

(ab initio calculation [DFT: b3lyp/6-31++G**] of spectra and anharmonicities)

n-butylamine

$$ω_1 = 3100 \text{ cm}^{-1}, ω_2 = 3029 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

 $Δ_1 = 73 \text{ cm}^{-1}, Δ_2 = 102 \text{ cm}^{-1}, Δ_{12} = 23 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

CG, Troppmann, de Vivie-Riedle, NJP 8, 48 (2006)





ab initio calculation of the polarizability [DFT: b3lyp/6-31++G**]







Polarizability

Vibrational Raman Quantum Gates



quantum gates with x-polarized laser fields

carrier frequency: 800 nm





general:

- reliable predictions of experimental laser fields: limited spectral bandwidth
- simplification of theoretical pulse shapes

molecular physics and beyond:

- multi-color, multi-photon processes: e.g. CARS
- preparation of cold molecules by photoassociation
- atom transport in optical lattices
- fast and robust gate operations with trapped ions and NMR-qubits

- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates and MTOCT
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook











D. Strasfeld, S. Shim, M. Zanni, PRL **99**, 038102 (2007)

OCT versus GAs



optimal control theory

primarily in the time domain

OCT specific parameters penalty factor, shape functions

laser pulse

guess laser field, explicitly pulse duration

genetic algorithms

frequency domain

GA specific parameters mutations, crossing-over, replacement factor, generations, population

laser pulse and mask function

FWHM of FL-pulses, carrier frequency, maximum energy, number of pixels, pixel width



Parametrized Phase Functions

control landscape

for the excitation process from v=0 to v=1of the T_{1u} mode in W(CO)₆

sinusoidal phase modulation (i=1)

$$\phi(\omega) = \sum_{i} a_i \sin(b_i \omega + c_i)$$

scanning the parameters a and b





Parametrized Phase Functions

control landscapes

for a 1-qubit NOT gate with sinusoidal phase modulation



control landscapes counter each other

parametrized wave functions lead to simple pulse structures, but are not flexible enough for quantum gate implementations

Pixeled Phase Functions





NOT gate laser pulses from GA calculations (efficiencies > 99%)

FL-pulse from experiment

Pixeled Phase Functions





NOT gate laser pulses from GA calculations (efficiencies > 99%)

FL-pulse from experiment

elongation of FL-pulse duration (~amplitude shaping)

Pixeled Phase Functions





NOT gate laser pulses from GA calculations (efficiencies > 99%)

FL-pulse from experiment

elongation of FL-pulse duration (~amplitude shaping)

limitation of the phase range from $[-\pi,+\pi]$ to $[-0.1\pi, +0.1\pi]$

Multi-Objective GAs





multi-objective / multi-criteria optimization

Pareto fronts minimum requirements on the pulse properties

control objectives

- efficiency
- pulse duration
- pulse intensity

CG, de Vivie-Riedle, NJP 11, 013019 (2009)

Multi-Objective GAs



3D-Pareto front of a CNOT gate in MnBr(CO)₅



multi-objective / multi-criteria optimization

Pareto fronts minimum requirements on the pulse properties

control objectives

- efficiency
- pulse duration
- pulse intensity

solution subspaces of OCT and GA match

CG, de Vivie-Riedle, NJP 11, 013019 (2009)

Outline



- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates and MTOCT
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook





alternative approach for optimization strategy in closed-loop experiments parametrized and pixeled mask functions: advantages and shortcomings



Ant Colony Optimization metaheuristic introduced by Dorigo, Di Caro and Gambardella

inspired by the behavior of real ant colonies discrete, combinatorial optimization problems belongs to the research field of swarm intelligence, which is part of AI



pheromone acts as biochemical information for the ants in a colony: collective memory



in nature: ants reach forage on the direct track due to the connection between pheromone deposition and search of favorable paths



initially each ant chooses randomly one path



in nature: ants reach forage on the direct track due to the connection between pheromone deposition and search of favorable paths



ant on shortest track reaches food source earlier and ...



in nature: ants reach forage on the direct track due to the connection between pheromone deposition and search of favorable paths



... deposits phermone earlier on the way back to the nest more ants follow the track with the higher concentration of pheromone



in nature: ants reach forage on the direct track due to the connection between pheromone deposition and search of favorable paths



... after some time (almost) the whole ant colony uses of the shortest track



$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

probability function:

gives the probability that an ant will choose a certain value for the *i*-th phase jump in iteration *t*

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$



$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

pheromone trail (updated in each iteration)

 $p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i,0)=\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

probability function:

gives the probability that an ant will choose a certain value for the *i*-th phase jump in iteration *t*

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$



$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i, t) + \beta \eta^{\phi}(\Delta \phi_i)$$
 visibility function (constant)

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

probability function:

gives the probability that an ant will choose a certain value for the *i*-th phase jump in iteration *t*

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$





$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

- visibility function

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)}$$

probability function: first iteration

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$



$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

visibility function:

normal distribution centered at a phase difference of zero guarantees low phase variation

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$



$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta\tau^{\phi_i, k}(\Delta\phi_i)$$
trail upon trail upon the trail of the trai

rail persistence constant an be varied between 0 and 1

phermone trail:

initial phermone trail is a uniform probability function, but updated in each iteration

date

Modified ACO Algorithm (phase)

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

 $p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau^{\phi}_i} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

trail update:

summation over all *k* ants, normalization constant *N*

Modified ACO Algorithm (phase)

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

 $p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

$$\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau^{\phi}_i} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$

contribution to trail update:

normal distribution for each ant k, with the learning rate Y(ield) referring to the ant k

process efficiency



$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) = (1 - \beta)\tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + \beta\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$p^{\phi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, 0) = \eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

 τ

$$\eta^{\phi}(\Delta\phi_i) = N^{\eta^{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{\sigma_{\eta}^{\phi}}\right)^2}$$

implementation of the probability function:

phase jump between two pixels are generated with a roulette wheel selection algorithm (fitness proportionate selection)

$$\varphi_i(\Delta\phi_i, t+1) = \rho \tau^{\varphi_i}(\Delta\phi_i, t) + (1-\rho)\Delta\tau^{\varphi_i}(\Delta\phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i}(\Delta \phi_i) = N^{\tau_i^{\phi}} \sum_k \Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i)$$

$$\Delta \tau^{\phi_i,k}(\Delta \phi_i) = \frac{Y^k}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_i - \Delta \phi_i^k}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$



evolution of the phase probability function for phase jumps between two neighboring pixels

E-Field (GV/cm) ACO GA ACO 0.0005 Ω -0.0005 -2 -2 0 2 2 -4 -2 0 2 0 Time (ps) Time (ps) Time (ps) 0 100 200 0.4 300 iterations 0.2 0.0 400 -0.2 $\Delta \phi_i$ 500 -0.4

results for a NOT gate in $W(CO)_6$

ACO Results



tunable correlation of the pixel values

- flexible approach:
 - tolerating neccessary phase jumps
 - but avoiding strong fluctuations
- result: shorter and simple structured pulses

Outline



- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates and MTOCT
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook

Vibrational Relaxation

measured vibrational lifetimes



D. Strasfeld, S. Shim, M. Zanni, PRL **99**, 038102 (2007)

biexponential decay with $T_1=150$ ps and $T_1=5.6$ ps

propagation in the density matrix formalism:

Lindblad approach & SPO propagator

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(t_j) = \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{coh}}^{(\mathcal{H})} \mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{L}} \left(\mathbf{V}^{(\mathcal{L})} e^{\mathcal{L}_D^{\mathrm{diag}(\mathcal{L})} \Delta t} \mathbf{V}^{-1(\mathcal{L})} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{(\mathcal{L})}(t_i) \right) \mathbf{T}^{\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{H}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{coh}}^{\dagger(\mathcal{H})}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{\rm coh} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \mathbf{X}^{\dagger} e^{i\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\sf diag}\epsilon(t_i)\Delta t} \mathbf{X} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\frac{\Delta t}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_D(\boldsymbol{\rho}(t)) = \sum_{i=0} \left\{ \mathbf{C}_i \boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{C}_i^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{C}_i^{\dagger} \mathbf{C}_i, \boldsymbol{\rho} \right]_+ \right\}$$



Vibrational Relaxation



W(CO)₆

biexponential decay with $T_1=150$ ps and $T_1=5.6$ ps

NOT gate: maximum ~75% efficiency



MnBr(CO)₅

exponential decay of the A_1 mode with $T_1 > 200$ ps

realization of highly efficient quantum gates possible

first quantum gate experiments in progress!

Outline



- Quantum Control
- Quantum Computing with Vibrational Qubits
 - Concept & IR-gates and MTOCT
 - Raman Quantum Computing
 - Control with Genetic Algorithms
 - Control with ACO
 - Dissipation
- Quantum Networks
- Conclusion & Outlook



Setup



- quantum information processing
- connection of qubits through molecular chains
- energy transfer through molecular chains



Prof. Gladysz, Stahl et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41



model system:

states of kinetically coupled local, oscillators (chain) and qubit system eigenstates

Setup



- quantum information processing
- connection of qubits through molecular chains
- energy transfer through molecular chains





model system:

states of kinetically coupled local, oscillators (chain) and qubit system eigenstates

approximation: only one overtone of the normal mode, defining the qubits, couples to the chain

Information Transfer





laser pulse with two main frequencies induces population transfer via chain states

diagonalization of Hamiltonian normal mode representation



Quantum Channels





Conclusion & Outlook







- molecular quantum computing with vibrational qubits IR quantum gates Raman quantum gates
- OCT with frequency filters
- matching solution subspaces of OCT and GA calculations
- ACO formalism for quantum control experiments
- highly efficient quantum gate operations in MnBr(CO) with vibrational relaxation
- quantum networks: qubits and molecular chains, state transfer



• outlook: first experimental realizations of vibrational quantum gates

Questions?





thank you to:

Regina de Vivie-Riedle

Martin Zanni David Strasfeld <u>funding:</u> DFG Normalverfahren

