The influence of ultra-fast laser pulses on electron transfer in molecular wires studied by a non-Markovian density matrix approach

Ulrich Kleinekathöfer

## Institut für Physik Technische Universität Chemnitz

kleinekathoefer@physik.tu-chemnitz.de







#### Outline

Non-Markovian theory



#### Control of electron transfer



Damped harmonic oscillator



#### Molecular wires



- Goal: Description of ultra-fast (fs) processes in the condensed phase
- full quantum dynamics including dephasing, energy dissipation but also coherences, not only transfer rates, temperature dependence
- splitting in relevant system and thermal bath



>  $\sigma$  - density matrix of the full system (relevant system + bath)

$$i\hbar \frac{d\sigma(t)}{dt} = [H(t), \sigma(t)]$$

- Goal: Description of ultra-fast (fs) processes in the condensed phase
- full quantum dynamics including dephasing, energy dissipation but also coherences, not only transfer rates, temperature dependence
- splitting in relevant system and thermal bath



reduced density-matrix:

 $\rho = tr_B(\sigma)$  - density matrix of the relevant system

$$i\hbar \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = [H_S(t), \rho(t)] + \mathscr{D}(t)\rho(t)$$

- Goal: Description of ultra-fast (fs) processes in the condensed phase
- full quantum dynamics including dephasing, energy dissipation but also coherences, not only transfer rates, temperature dependence
- splitting in relevant system and thermal bath



>  $\sigma$  - density matrix of the full system (relevant system + bath)

$$i\hbar \frac{d\sigma(t)}{dt} = [H(t), \sigma(t)]$$

- Goal: Description of ultra-fast (fs) processes in the condensed phase
- full quantum dynamics including dephasing, energy dissipation but also coherences, not only transfer rates, temperature dependence
- splitting in relevant system and thermal bath



reduced density-matrix:

 $\rho = tr_B(\sigma)$  - density matrix of the relevant system

$$i\hbar \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = [H_S(t), \rho(t)] + \mathscr{D}(t)\rho(t)$$

#### **Perturbative treatment**

 $H = H_S + H_B + H_{SB}$ 

- every environmental degree of freedom only slightly distorted
   modeled by harmonic oscillators
- ► how strongly does the environment absorb energy? ⇒ spectral density  $J(\omega)$
- > perturbation theory in the system-bath coupling  $H_{SB}$  (2nd order or higher)
- ► in Redfield theory: Markov approximation (neglect of memory effects): bath correlation times  $\tau_B <<$  typical system times  $\tau_S$

#### **Decomposition of the spectral density**

information on the frequencies of the bath modes and their coupling to the system

$$J(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^2}{m_i \omega_i} \delta(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_i)$$

> property 
$$J(-\omega) = -J(\omega)$$

numerical decomposition in Lorentzians (Meier and Tannor)

$$J(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{p_k}{4\Omega_k} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \Omega_k)^2 + \Gamma_k^2} - \frac{1}{(\boldsymbol{\omega} + \Omega_k)^2 + \Gamma_k^2} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{[(\boldsymbol{\omega} + \Omega_k)^2 + \Gamma_k^2][(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \Omega_k)^2 + \Gamma_k^2]}$$

#### **Decomposition of the spectral density**



#### **Environment correlation function**

using the theorem of residues

$$C(t) = a(t) - ib(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} J(\omega) \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1} = \frac{2i}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{n'} J(i\nu_k) e^{-\nu_k t}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{p_k}{4\Omega_k \Gamma_k} \left\{ e^{i\Omega_k^+ t} n_B(\Omega_k^+) + e^{-i\Omega_k^- t} (n_B(\Omega_k^-) + 1) \right\}$$

- > with  $\Omega_k^+ = \Omega_k + i\Gamma_k$ ,  $\Omega_k^- = \Omega_k i\Gamma_k$ , the Bose-Einstein distribution  $n_B(\omega)$  and the Matsubara frequencies  $v_k = 2\pi k/\beta$
- in principle, the sum over the Matsubara terms is an infinite one but in practice the sum can be truncated (temperaturedependent)
- > time dependence in C(t) is now fully exponential which enables further analytic treatment

#### **Environment correlation function: Drude form**

Drude form

$$J(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\omega} / (1 + (\boldsymbol{\omega} / \boldsymbol{\omega}_d)^2)$$

> poles at  $\omega = \pm i \omega_d$ 

using theorem of residues yields

$$a(t) = \frac{\eta}{2}\omega_d^2 \cot(\beta\omega_d/2)e^{-\omega_d t} - \frac{2\eta}{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{n'} \frac{\nu_k e^{-\nu_k t}}{1 - (\nu_k/\omega_d)^2}$$

and

$$b(t) = rac{\eta}{2} \omega_d^2 e^{-\omega_d t} \; .$$

> singularities in a(t) or b(t) such as the singularities at  $v_k = \omega_d$ 

> abbreviations

• 
$$a(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_r} \alpha_k^r e^{\gamma_k^r t}$$
 with  $n_r = n' + 1$   
•  $b(t) = \alpha_1^i e^{\gamma_1^i t}$  with  $n_i = 1$ 

#### **Nakajima-Zwanzig and Shibata identities**

► Liouville equation  $i\frac{d}{dt}\sigma(t) = \mathscr{L}\sigma(t)$  with  $\mathscr{L} \ldots = \frac{1}{\hbar}[H, \ldots]$ 

➤ for simplicity here *H* time-independent

- ► use projector  $\mathscr{P}$  onto relevant part of the whole system,  $\mathscr{P} + \mathscr{Q} = 1$ ,  $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{P}^2$ ,  $\rho(t) = \mathscr{P}\sigma(t)$
- both identities exact, no approximation so far
- Nakajima-Zwanzig identity (time-nonlocal, TNL)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathscr{P} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = -i \mathscr{P} \mathscr{L} \mathscr{P} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) - \int_{t_0}^t \mathscr{P} \mathscr{L} e^{-i(1-\mathscr{P})\mathscr{L}(t-\tau)} (1-\mathscr{P}) \mathscr{L} \mathscr{P} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\tau) d\tau \\ -i \mathscr{P} \mathscr{L} e^{-i(1-\mathscr{P})\mathscr{L}(t-t_0)} (1-\mathscr{P}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t_0)$$

Hashitsume-Shibata-Takahashi identity (time-local, TL)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathscr{P}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = -i\mathscr{P}\mathscr{L}[1+i\int_{0}^{t} e^{-i(1-\mathscr{P})\mathscr{L}\boldsymbol{\tau}}(1-\mathscr{P})\mathscr{L}\mathscr{P}e^{i\mathscr{L}\boldsymbol{\tau}}d\boldsymbol{\tau}]^{-1}$$
$$\cdot[\mathscr{P}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) + e^{-i(1-\mathscr{P})\mathscr{L}(t-t_{0})}(1-\mathscr{P})\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t_{0})]$$

#### **Time-local approach**

> factorized system-bath coupling  $H_{S-B} = \sum_m K_m \Phi_m$ 

•  $K_m$  system part,  $\Phi_m$  bath part

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t)\approx-\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_S,\rho(t)]-\sum_{m,n}\mathrm{Tr}_B\left([K_m\Phi_m,\int_0^t\mathrm{e}^{-i(\mathscr{L}_S+\mathscr{L}_B)\tau}[K_n\Phi_n,(\rho^B\otimes\mathrm{e}^{i\mathscr{L}_S\tau}\rho(t))]]d\tau\right)$$

bath correlation functions (sum of exponentials)

$$C_{mn}(\tau) = \operatorname{Tr}_B\left(\mathrm{e}^{+iH_B\tau}\Phi_m\mathrm{e}^{-iH_B\tau}\Phi_n
ight)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) \approx -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_S,\rho(t)] - \sum_{m,n} \int_0^t d\tau \left\{ [K_m, \mathrm{e}^{-iH_S\tau} K_n \mathrm{e}^{iH_S\tau}\rho(t)] C_{mn}(\tau) + [\rho(t)\mathrm{e}^{-iH_S\tau} K_n \mathrm{e}^{iH_S\tau}, K_m] C_{mn}^*(\tau) \right\}$$

► for simplicity:  $H_{S-B} = K \sum_m \Phi_m$ 

define operator

$$\Lambda(t) = \sum_{n} \int_{0}^{t} d\tau C_{n}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-iH_{S}\tau} K_{n} \mathrm{e}^{iH_{S}\tau}$$

# Time-local approach: time-independent Hamiltonian

define the non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian

 $H_{\rm eff} = H_{\rm s} + H_{\rm ren} - iK\Lambda(t)$ 

➤ the TL-QME is given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} = -i \left( H_{\text{eff}} \rho(t) - \rho(t) H_{\text{eff}}^{\dagger} \right) + \left( K \rho(t) \Lambda^{\dagger}(t) + \Lambda(t) \rho(t) K \right)$$

in energy representation

$$\langle \mu | \Lambda(t) | \nu \rangle = \langle \mu | K | \nu \rangle \int_{0}^{t} dt' C(t') e^{-i\omega_{\mu\nu}t'} = \langle \mu | K | \nu \rangle \Theta^{+}(t, \omega_{\mu\nu})$$

with analytic expression for  $\Theta^+(t, \omega_{\mu\nu})$  since C(t) is sum of exponentials

#### **Markov approximation and Redfield theory**

- > simple Markov limit:  $\Theta^+(t \rightarrow \infty, \omega_{\mu\nu})$
- > damping matrix  $\Gamma_{\nu\mu,\kappa\lambda}$  for Redfield theory

$$\Gamma_{\nu\mu,\kappa\lambda} = \operatorname{Re}\langle \nu|K|\mu\rangle\langle\kappa|\Lambda(t=\infty)|\lambda\rangle$$
.

- imaginary part (Lamb shift) is neglected
- > at the same time (!) renormalization term is neglected
- neglect of only Lamb shift or only renormalization can cause severe problems
- in Redfield theory influence of time-dependent part of Hamiltonian (laser fields) is neglected (!)

#### **Time-local approach: General formalism**

derived from a second-order cumulant expansion of the time-ordered exponential function

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i\mathscr{L}_s^{\text{eff}}\rho(t) + \int_0^t dt' \mathscr{K}(t')\rho(t)$$

using C(t) = a(t) - ib(t) with

$$\mathscr{K}(t') = \mathscr{L}_{-}\mathscr{U}_{s}(t,t')[a(t-t')\mathscr{L}_{-}-b(t-t')\mathscr{L}_{+}]\mathscr{U}_{s}^{\dagger}(t,t')$$

$$\mathscr{U}_{s}(t,t_{0}) \quad = \quad \mathscr{T}_{+} \left[ e^{-i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt'' \mathscr{L}_{s}(t'')} \right] \quad , \quad \mathscr{L}_{-} = -i[K,\cdot] \quad , \quad \mathscr{L}_{+} = [K,\cdot]_{+} \quad ,$$

➤ this equation can be rewritten as

$$rac{doldsymbol{
ho}(t)}{dt} = -i\mathscr{L}^{ ext{eff}}_soldsymbol{
ho}(t) + i\mathscr{L}_-\left([oldsymbol{
ho}(t),\Lambda^r(t)] + i[oldsymbol{
ho}(t),\Lambda^i(t)]_+
ight) \;.$$

with

$$\Lambda^{r}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' a(t-t') \mathscr{U}_{s}(t,t') K, \quad \Lambda^{i}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' b(t-t') \mathscr{U}_{s}(t,t') K$$

# Time-local approach: time-dependent Hamiltonian

define auxiliary operators

$$\Lambda_k^r(t) = \int_0^t dt' e^{\gamma_k^r t'} \mathscr{U}_s(t,t') K, \qquad \Lambda_k^i(t) = \int_0^t dt' e^{\gamma_k^r t'} \mathscr{U}_s(t,t') K.$$

with these expressions the TL-QME can be written as

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i\mathscr{L}_s^{\text{eff}}\rho(t) + \mathscr{L}_-\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{n_r} [\rho(t)\Lambda_k^r(t) - \Lambda_k^r(t)\rho(t)]\right)$$
$$- \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} [\rho(t)\Lambda_k^i(t) + \Lambda_k^i(t)\rho(t)]\right)$$

> auxiliary operators  $\Lambda_k^r$  and  $\Lambda_k^i$  can be determined via

$$\frac{d\Lambda_k^r}{dt} = (\gamma_k^r - i\mathscr{L}_s)\Lambda_k^r + K, \qquad \frac{d\Lambda_k^i}{dt} = (\gamma_k^i - i\mathscr{L}_s)\Lambda_k^r + K.$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  no restriction concerning time-dependence in  $H_S$ 

time-nonlocal approach equivalent

# Accuracy test: Damped Harmonic Oscillator

Journal of Chemical Physics 121, 2505 (2004).

- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > medium temperature:  $\beta = 1/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0=2$ ,  $\eta=0.121$



- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > medium temperature:  $\beta = 1/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0=1$ ,  $\eta=0.2$



- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > medium temperature:  $\beta = 1/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0$ =0.5,  $\eta = 0.544$



- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > medium temperature:  $\beta = 1/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0$ =0.5,  $\eta = 0.0544$



#### **Results for harmonic oscillator: Variance of** *q*

- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > medium temperature:  $\beta = 1/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0$ =0.5,  $\eta = 0.544$



#### **Results for harmonic oscillator: Variance of** *q*

- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > medium temperature:  $\beta = 1/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0$ =0.5,  $\eta = 0.544$



#### **Results for harmonic oscillator: Low Temperature**

- initially all population in the 3rd excited level
- > low temperature:  $\beta = 100/\omega_0$
- > Drude form, cut-off:  $\omega_D/\omega_0=0.5$ ,  $\eta=0.544$



#### Higher orders through hierarchical scheme

- above described non-Markovian schemes are lowest order of this scheme
- developed by groups of J. S. Shao (Chem. Phys. Lett. 395, 216 (2004)) and J.-Y. Yan (J. Chem. Phys. 122, 041103 (2004))
- ► for bath-correlation function  $C(t) = a_1 e^{-\gamma_1 t}$



# Coherent control of electron transfer

in collaboration with Tomáš Mančal and Volkhard May Humboldt–Universität zu Berlin

Journal of Chemical Physics **117**, 636–646 (2002).



#### **Adaptive coherent control**



R.S. Judson and H. Rabitz 68, 1500 (1992).

#### **Coherent control in presence of dissipation**

> optimal control theory of Rabitz et al.

> realization of an observable at a certain moment in time  $t_f$ 

 $O(t_f) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\{\hat{O}\hat{\rho}(t_f)\}$ 

the optimal pulse is defined as extremum of

$$J(t_f; \mathbf{E}) = O(t_f; \mathbf{E}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ \lambda(t) \mathbf{E}^2(t)$$



$$\mathbf{E}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{K}(t_f, t; \mathbf{E})}{\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t)}$$

with

$$\mathbf{K}(t_f, t; \mathbf{E}) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(t; \mathbf{E}) \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(t; \mathbf{E})\right]\}$$

#### **Coherent control in betaine-30**

> goal: population of the 3rd vibrational state in the electronic excited state at time  $t_f = 300$  fs



#### Laser pulse, electronic and vibronic populations



Molecular electronics: Influence of laser pulses on the electron transfer in molecular wires

Journal of Chemical Physics 124, 044712 (2006).

#### The model





#### The model



#### **Population dynamics**



#### **Determination of the current**

> using electron number operator  $N_l = \sum_q c_q^{\dagger} c_q$ 

$$I_l(t) = e \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{tr} \{ N_l \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \} = -ie \, \mathrm{tr} \{ [N_l, H(t)] \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \}$$

defining auxiliary operators

$$\Lambda_{12}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt' C_{12}(t-t') U_S(t,t') c_1 \rho_S(t') = \sum_{k}^{m+m'} \Lambda_{12}^k(t)$$
  
$$\Lambda_{21}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt' C_{21}^*(t-t') U_S^{\dagger}(t,t') c_1^{\dagger} \rho_S(t') = \sum_{k}^{m+m'} \Lambda_{21}^k(t)$$

equations of motion for auxiliary operators

$$\dot{\Lambda}_{12}^{k}(t) = (a_{12}^{k}) c_{1} \rho_{S}(t) - i[H_{S}(t), \Lambda_{12}^{k}(t)] + (\gamma_{12}^{k}) \Lambda_{12}^{k}(t)$$
  
$$\dot{\Lambda}_{21}^{k}(t) = (a_{21}^{k})^{*} c_{1}^{\dagger} \rho_{S}(t) - i[H_{S}(t), \widehat{\Lambda}_{21}^{k}(t)] + (\gamma_{21}^{k})^{*} \Lambda_{21}^{k}(t)$$

final current equation

$$I_l(t) = 2e \operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{tr}_S\{c_1^{\dagger}\Lambda_{12}(t)\} - \operatorname{tr}_S\{c_1\Lambda_{21}(t)\}\right)$$

#### **Periodic external potential**



#### **Coherent destruction of tunneling (CDT)**



$$U_{n}(t) = A(t)\delta_{1n} - A(t)\delta_{2n}$$

$$A(t) = A_{0}\sin(\omega t)$$



#### **Coherent destruction of tunneling (CDT)**



J. Lehmann, S. Camalet, S. Kohler and P. Hänggi, Chem. Phys. Lett. 368, 282 (2003)

#### **CDT: Coupling to phonon bath**



J. Lehmann, S. Kohler, V. May and P. Hänggi, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 2278 (2003)

#### **CDT: Short laser pulse**





#### **CDT: Pulse length**



#### **CDT: Electron correlation**



#### Other current projects in the group

- calculation of electron transfer in DNA
- time-dependent calculation and coherent control of CARS spectra (together with Arnulf Materny, IUB)
- > MD simulations to understand the molecular motor  $F_1$ -ATPase



MD simulations of antibiotics transport through channels of bacteria

#### **Acknowledgments**

the group (as part of professorial chair "Theorie ungeordneter Systeme" of Prof. Schreiber)



• A. Novikov, S. Pezeshki, G.-Q. Li, S. Welack, M. Schröder

> David J. Tannor, Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel